## Patrick K. McDonnell [ProSe]

20 Rawson Place Staten Island, New York 10314 Telephone: (718) 524-6312 Email: cdm@gmx.us IN CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT E.D.N.Y.

~ JUL 1 0 2010

**BROOKLYN OFFICE** 

July 17, 2018

## BY ECF

The Honorable Jack B. Weinstein United States District Judge United States District Court Eastern District of New York 225 Cadman Plaza East E. Brooklyn, New York 11201 F + Doche 5 1/18/18

Re: Commodity Futures Trading Commission v. Patrick K. McDonnell and CabbageTech, Corp. d/b/a Coin Drop Markets, No. 18-CV-00361 (JBW)(RLM)

Dear Judge Weinstein:

I am a ProSe litigant in the above captioned matter writing regarding [Docket #139 styled Motion To Dismiss For Insufficient Evidence]. I submitted further ECF filings July 16, 2018 to Plaintiff for courtesy upload to be styled as "Supplemental Attachments" and was told the system will only accept the word "Exhibits". However, when I received my copies of ECF filings from Plaintiff they were done under the Docket(s); #143, 143-1, 143-2, 143-3, and 144 vs "Attached as Exhibit(s) to Docket #139" as requested. To further clarify Your Honor, Docket(s); "#139-1 is [Exhibit 1]", "143 is [Exhibit 2]", "143-1 is [Exhibit 3]", "143-2 is [Exhibit 4]", "143-3 is [Exhibit 5]", and "144 is [Exhibit 6]" which "collectively" comprise my initial filings regarding Docket #139. I am very concerned that it is viewed in full and accurate completeness.

Grounds for dismissal; Insufficient Evidence Lacking Burden Of Proof For Criminal Proceeding; Subject-matter jurisdiction (pursuant to Rule 12 (b)(1), Fed. R. Civ. P.) and/or Personal jurisdiction (pursuant to Rule 12 (b)(2), Fed. R. Civ. P.) and Failure to state a claim upon relief can be granted (pursuant to Rule 12 (b)(6), Fed. R. Civ. P.)

Defendant is relying on FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE Rule 41 and/or FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE Rule 52(c) regarding "Plaintiff Insufficient Evidence" and "Failure To Carry A Burden Of Proof" in presenting their case criminally. The new provisions of Rule 52(c), authorize entry of judgment against the defendant as well as the plaintiff, and earlier than the close of the case of the party against whom judgment is rendered.



A motion to dismiss under Rule 41 on the ground that a plaintiff's evidence is legally insufficient should now be treated as a motion for judgment on partial findings as provided in Rule 52(c).

Respectfully Submitted,
/s/ Patrick K. McDonnell [ProSe]
Patrick K. McDonnell